

Foundation of Cryptography (0368-4162-01), Lecture 4 Pseudorandom Functions

Iftach Haitner, Tel Aviv University

November 29, 2011

Section 1

Function Families

function families

- 1 $\mathbb{F} = \{\mathbb{F}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, where $\mathbb{F}_n = \{f: \{0, 1\}^{m(n)} \mapsto \{0, 1\}^{\ell(n)}\}$
- 2 We write $\mathbb{F} = \{\mathbb{F}_n: \{0, 1\}^{m(n)} \mapsto \{0, 1\}^{\ell(n)}\}$
- 3 If $m(n) = \ell(n) = n$, we omit it from the notation
- 4 We identify function with their description
- 5 The rv F_n is uniformly distributed over $\mathbb{F}_n$

efficient function families

Definition 1 (efficient function family)

An ensemble of function families $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is efficient, if the following hold:

Samplable. $\mathcal{F}$ is samplable in polynomial-time: there exists a PPT that given 1^n , outputs (the description of) a uniform element in $\mathcal{F}_n$.

Efficient. There exists a polynomial-time algorithm that given $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$ and (a description of) $f \in \mathcal{F}_n$, outputs $f(x)$.

random functions

Definition 2 (random functions)

For $m, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$, we let $\Pi_{m,\ell}$ consist of all functions from $\{0, 1\}^m$ to $\{0, 1\}^\ell$.

random functions

Definition 2 (random functions)

For $m, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$, we let $\Pi_{m,\ell}$ consist of all functions from $\{0, 1\}^m$ to $\{0, 1\}^\ell$.

- It takes $2^m \cdot \ell$ bits to describe an element inside $\Pi_{m,\ell}$.

random functions

Definition 2 (random functions)

For $m, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$, we let $\Pi_{m,\ell}$ consist of all functions from $\{0, 1\}^m$ to $\{0, 1\}^\ell$.

- It takes $2^m \cdot \ell$ bits to describe an element inside $\Pi_{m,\ell}$.
- We sometimes think of $\pi \in \Pi_{m,\ell}$ as a random string of length $2^m \cdot \ell$.

random functions

Definition 2 (random functions)

For $m, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$, we let $\Pi_{m,\ell}$ consist of all functions from $\{0, 1\}^m$ to $\{0, 1\}^\ell$.

- It takes $2^m \cdot \ell$ bits to describe an element inside $\Pi_{m,\ell}$.
- We sometimes think of $\pi \in \Pi_{m,\ell}$ as a random string of length $2^m \cdot \ell$.
- $\Pi_n = \Pi_{n,n}$

pseudorandom functions

Definition 3 (pseudorandom functions)

A function family ensemble $\mathbb{F} = \{\mathbb{F}_n : \{0, 1\}^{m(n)} \mapsto \{0, 1\}^{\ell(n)}\}$ is pseudorandom, if

$$\left| \Pr[D^{\mathbb{F}_n}(1^n) = 1] - \Pr[D^{\Pi_{m(n), \ell(n)}}(1^n) = 1] \right| = \text{neg}(n),$$

for any oracle-aided PPT D .

pseudorandom functions

Definition 3 (pseudorandom functions)

A function family ensemble $\mathbb{F} = \{\mathbb{F}_n : \{0, 1\}^{m(n)} \mapsto \{0, 1\}^{\ell(n)}\}$ is pseudorandom, if

$$\left| \Pr[D^{\mathbb{F}_n}(1^n) = 1] - \Pr[D^{\Pi_{m(n), \ell(n)}}(1^n) = 1] \right| = \text{neg}(n),$$

for any oracle-aided PPT D .

- 1 Suffices to consider $\ell(n) = n$

pseudorandom functions

Definition 3 (pseudorandom functions)

A function family ensemble $\mathbb{F} = \{\mathbb{F}_n : \{0, 1\}^{m(n)} \mapsto \{0, 1\}^{\ell(n)}\}$ is pseudorandom, if

$$\left| \Pr[D^{\mathbb{F}_n}(1^n) = 1] - \Pr[D^{\Pi_{m(n), \ell(n)}}(1^n) = 1] \right| = \text{neg}(n),$$

for any oracle-aided PPT D .

- 1 Suffices to consider $\ell(n) = n$
- 2 Easy to construct (with no assumption) for $m(n) = \log n$ and $\ell \in \text{poly}$

pseudorandom functions

Definition 3 (pseudorandom functions)

A function family ensemble $\mathbb{F} = \{\mathbb{F}_n : \{0, 1\}^{m(n)} \mapsto \{0, 1\}^{\ell(n)}\}$ is pseudorandom, if

$$\left| \Pr[D^{\mathbb{F}_n}(1^n) = 1] - \Pr[D^{\Pi_{m(n), \ell(n)}}(1^n) = 1] \right| = \text{neg}(n),$$

for any oracle-aided PPT D .

- 1 Suffices to consider $\ell(n) = n$
- 2 Easy to construct (with no assumption) for $m(n) = \log n$ and $\ell \in \text{poly}$
- 3 PRF easily imply a PRG

pseudorandom functions

Definition 3 (pseudorandom functions)

A function family ensemble $\mathbb{F} = \{\mathbb{F}_n : \{0, 1\}^{m(n)} \mapsto \{0, 1\}^{\ell(n)}\}$ is pseudorandom, if

$$\left| \Pr[D^{\mathbb{F}_n}(1^n) = 1] - \Pr[D^{\Pi_{m(n), \ell(n)}}(1^n) = 1] \right| = \text{neg}(n),$$

for any oracle-aided PPT D .

- 1 Suffices to consider $\ell(n) = n$
- 2 Easy to construct (with no assumption) for $m(n) = \log n$ and $\ell \in \text{poly}$
- 3 PRF easily imply a PRG
- 4 Pseudorandom permutations (PRPs)

Section 2

PRF from OWF

the construction

Construction 4

Let $g: \{0, 1\}^n \mapsto \{0, 1\}^{2n}$. Let $g_0(s) = g(s)_{1,\dots,n}$ and $g_1(s) = g(s)_{n+1,\dots,2n}$. For s and $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$, let f_s be defined as

$$f_s(x) = g_{x_n}(\dots(g_{x_2}(g_{x_1}(s))))$$

the construction

Construction 4

Let $g: \{0, 1\}^n \mapsto \{0, 1\}^{2n}$. Let $g_0(s) = g(s)_{1,\dots,n}$ and $g_1(s) = g(s)_{n+1,\dots,2n}$. For s and $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$, let f_s be defined as

$$f_s(x) = g_{x_n}(\dots(g_{x_2}(g_{x_1}(s))))$$

Let $\mathbb{F}_n = \{f_s: s \in \{0, 1\}^n\}$ and $\mathbb{F} = \{\mathbb{F}_n\}$.

the construction

Construction 4

Let $g: \{0, 1\}^n \mapsto \{0, 1\}^{2n}$. Let $g_0(s) = g(s)_{1,\dots,n}$ and $g_1(s) = g(s)_{n+1,\dots,2n}$. For s and $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$, let f_s be defined as

$$f_s(x) = g_{x_n}(\dots(g_{x_2}(g_{x_1}(s))))$$

Let $\mathbb{F}_n = \{f_s: s \in \{0, 1\}^n\}$ and $\mathbb{F} = \{\mathbb{F}_n\}$.

g is efficient function implies that $\mathbb{F}$ is an efficient family.

the construction

Construction 4

Let $g: \{0, 1\}^n \mapsto \{0, 1\}^{2n}$. Let $g_0(s) = g(s)_{1,\dots,n}$ and $g_1(s) = g(s)_{n+1,\dots,2n}$. For s and $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$, let f_s be defined as

$$f_s(x) = g_{x_n}(\dots(g_{x_2}(g_{x_1}(s))))$$

Let $\mathbb{F}_n = \{f_s: s \in \{0, 1\}^n\}$ and $\mathbb{F} = \{\mathbb{F}_n\}$.

g is efficient function implies that $\mathbb{F}$ is an efficient family.

Theorem 5 (Goldreich-Goldwasser-Micali)

If g is a PRG then $\mathbb{F}$ is a PRF.

the construction

Construction 4

Let $g: \{0, 1\}^n \mapsto \{0, 1\}^{2n}$. Let $g_0(s) = g(s)_{1,\dots,n}$ and $g_1(s) = g(s)_{n+1,\dots,2n}$. For s and $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$, let f_s be defined as

$$f_s(x) = g_{x_n}(\dots(g_{x_2}(g_{x_1}(s))))$$

Let $\mathbb{F}_n = \{f_s: s \in \{0, 1\}^n\}$ and $\mathbb{F} = \{\mathbb{F}_n\}$.

g is efficient function implies that $\mathbb{F}$ is an efficient family.

Theorem 5 (Goldreich-Goldwasser-Micali)

If g is a PRG then $\mathbb{F}$ is a PRF.

Corollary 6

OWFs imply PRFs.

Proof Idea

- Easy to prove for input of length 2.

Proof Idea

- Easy to prove for input of length 2.
Observation: $D = (g(g_0(U_n)), g(g_1(U_n)))$ is pseudorandom:

Proof Idea

- Easy to prove for input of length 2.

Observation: $D = (g(g_0(U_n)), g(g_1(U_n)))$ is pseudorandom:

Proof: $D' = (g(U_n^{(0)}), g(U_n^1)) \approx_c U_{4n}$ and $D \approx_c D'$.

Proof Idea

- Easy to prove for input of length 2.

Observation: $D = (g(g_0(U_n)), g(g_1(U_n)))$ is pseudorandom:

Proof: $D' = (g(U_n^{(0)}), g(U_n^1)) \approx_c U_{4n}$ and $D \approx_c D'$.

- Hence we can handle input of length 2
- Extend to longer inputs?

Proof Idea

- Easy to prove for input of length 2.

Observation: $D = (g(g_0(U_n)), g(g_1(U_n)))$ is pseudorandom:

Proof: $D' = (g(U_n^{(0)}), g(U_n^1)) \approx_c U_{4n}$ and $D \approx_c D'$.

- Hence we can handle input of length 2
- Extend to longer inputs?
- We show that an efficient sample from the *truth table* of $f \leftarrow \mathbb{F}_n$, is computationally indistinguishable from that of $\pi \leftarrow \Pi_{n,n}$.

Actual proof

Assume $\exists$ PPT D , $p \in \text{poly}$ and infinite set $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with

$$\left| \Pr[D^{F_n}(1^n) = 1] - \Pr[D^{\Pi_n}(1^n) = 1] \right| \geq \frac{1}{p(n)}, \quad (1)$$

for any $n \in \mathcal{I}$ and fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$

Actual proof

Assume $\exists$ PPT D , $p \in \text{poly}$ and infinite set $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with

$$\left| \Pr[D^{F_n}(1^n) = 1] - \Pr[D^{\Pi_n}(1^n) = 1] \right| \geq \frac{1}{p(n)}, \quad (1)$$

for any $n \in \mathcal{I}$ and fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$

Let $t = t(n) \in \text{poly}$ be a bound on the running time of $D(1^n)$.

We use D to construct a PPT D' such that

$$\left| \Pr[D'(U_{2n}^t) = 1] - \Pr[D'(g(U_n)^t) = 1] \right| > \frac{1}{np(n)},$$

where $U_{2n}^t = U_{2n}^{(1)}, \dots, U_{2n}^{(t(n))}$ and
 $g(U_n)^t = g(U_n^{(1)}), \dots, g(U_n^{(t(n))})$.

The hybrid

Let g and f be as in the definition of $\mathbb{F}_n$

Definition 7

For $k \in \{0, \dots, n\}$, let $\mathcal{H}_k = \{h_\pi: \{0, 1\}^n \mapsto \{0, 1\}^n: \pi \in \Pi_{k,n}\}$, where $h_\pi(x) = f_{\pi(x_1, \dots, k)}(x_{k+1}, \dots, n)$

The hybrid

Let g and f be as in the definition of $\mathbb{F}_n$

Definition 7

For $k \in \{0, \dots, n\}$, let $\mathcal{H}_k = \{h_\pi: \{0, 1\}^n \mapsto \{0, 1\}^n: \pi \in \Pi_{k,n}\}$, where $h_\pi(x) = f_{\pi(x_1, \dots, k)}(x_{k+1}, \dots, n)$

- $f_y(\lambda) = y$
- $\Pi_{0,n} = \{0, 1\}^n$, and for $\pi \in \Pi_{0,n}$ let $\pi(\lambda) = \pi$

The hybrid

Let g and f be as in the definition of $\mathbb{F}_n$

Definition 7

For $k \in \{0, \dots, n\}$, let $\mathcal{H}_k = \{h_\pi: \{0, 1\}^n \mapsto \{0, 1\}^n: \pi \in \Pi_{k,n}\}$, where $h_\pi(x) = f_{\pi(x_1, \dots, k)}(x_{k+1}, \dots, n)$

- $f_y(\lambda) = y$
- $\Pi_{0,n} = \{0, 1\}^n$, and for $\pi \in \Pi_{0,n}$ let $\pi(\lambda) = \pi$
- Note that $\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathbb{F}_n$ and $\mathcal{H}_n = \Pi_{n,n}$

The hybrid

Let g and f be as in the definition of $\mathbb{F}_n$

Definition 7

For $k \in \{0, \dots, n\}$, let $\mathcal{H}_k = \{h_\pi: \{0, 1\}^n \mapsto \{0, 1\}^n: \pi \in \Pi_{k,n}\}$, where $h_\pi(x) = f_{\pi(x_1, \dots, k)}(x_{k+1}, \dots, n)$

- $f_y(\lambda) = y$
- $\Pi_{0,n} = \{0, 1\}^n$, and for $\pi \in \Pi_{0,n}$ let $\pi(\lambda) = \pi$
- Note that $\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathbb{F}_n$ and $\mathcal{H}_n = \Pi_{n,n}$
- Can we emulate $\mathcal{H}_k$?

The hybrid

Let g and f be as in the definition of $\mathbb{F}_n$

Definition 7

For $k \in \{0, \dots, n\}$, let $\mathcal{H}_k = \{h_\pi: \{0, 1\}^n \mapsto \{0, 1\}^n: \pi \in \Pi_{k,n}\}$, where $h_\pi(x) = f_{\pi(x_1, \dots, k)}(x_{k+1}, \dots, n)$

- $f_y(\lambda) = y$
 - $\Pi_{0,n} = \{0, 1\}^n$, and for $\pi \in \Pi_{0,n}$ let $\pi(\lambda) = \pi$
 - Note that $\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathbb{F}_n$ and $\mathcal{H}_n = \Pi_{n,n}$
 - Can we emulate $\mathcal{H}_k$? We emulate if from D's point of view.
 - We present efficient "function family" $\mathcal{O}_k = \{O_k^{s_1, \dots, s^t}\}$ s.t.
 - $D^{O_k^{U_n^t}}(1^n) \equiv D^{H_k}(1^n)$
 - $D^{O_k^{g(U_n)^t}}(1^n) \equiv D^{H_{k-1}}(1^n)$
- for any $k \in [n]$, where H_K is uniformly sampled from $\mathcal{H}_k$.

completing the proof

Let $D'(y)$ return $D_k^y(1^n)$ for k uniformly chosen in $[n]$.

completing the proof

Let $D'(y)$ return $D_k^{O_k^y}(1^n)$ for k uniformly chosen in $[n]$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \Pr[D'(U_{2n}^t) = 1] - \Pr[D'(g(U_n)^t) = 1] \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \cdot \Pr[D_k^{O_k^{U_{2n}^t}}(1^n) = 1] - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \cdot \Pr[D_k^{O_k^{g(U_n)^t}}(1^n) = 1] \right| \end{aligned}$$

completing the proof

Let $D'(y)$ return $D_k^y(1^n)$ for k uniformly chosen in $[n]$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \Pr[D'(U_{2n}^t) = 1] - \Pr[D'(g(U_n)^t) = 1] \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \cdot \Pr[D_k^{O_k^{U_{2n}^t}}(1^n) = 1] - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \cdot \Pr[D_k^{O_k^{g(U_n)^t}}(1^n) = 1] \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \Pr[D^{H_k}(1^n) = 1] - \sum_{k=1}^n \Pr[D^{H_{k-1}}(1^n) = 1] \right| \end{aligned}$$

completing the proof

Let $D'(y)$ return $D_k^{O_k^y}(1^n)$ for k uniformly chosen in $[n]$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \Pr[D'(U_{2n}^t) = 1] - \Pr[D'(g(U_n)^t) = 1] \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \cdot \Pr[D_k^{O_k^{U_{2n}^t}}(1^n) = 1] - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \cdot \Pr[D_k^{O_k^{g(U_n)^t}}(1^n) = 1] \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \Pr[D^{H_k}(1^n) = 1] - \sum_{k=1}^n \Pr[D^{H_{k-1}}(1^n) = 1] \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \left| \Pr[D^{H_n}(1^n) = 1] - \Pr[D^{H_0}(1^n) = 1] \right| = \frac{1}{np(n)} \square \end{aligned}$$

Actual proof

The family $\mathcal{O}_k$

$$\mathcal{O}_k := \{O_k^{s^1, \dots, s^t} : s^1, \dots, s^t \in \{0, 1\}^n \times \{0, 1\}^n\}.$$

Algorithm 8 ($O_k^{s^1, \dots, s^t}$)

On the i 'th query $x^i \in \{0, 1\}^n$:

- 1 If x^ℓ with $x_{1, \dots, k-1}^\ell = x_{1, \dots, k-1}^i$ was previously asked, set $z = s_{x_k}^\ell$ (where ℓ is the minimal such index). Otherwise, set $z = s_{x_k}^i$.
- 2 Return $f_z(x_{k+1, \dots, n})$

Actual proof

The family $\mathcal{O}_k$

$$\mathcal{O}_k := \{O_k^{s^1, \dots, s^t} : s^1, \dots, s^t \in \{0, 1\}^n \times \{0, 1\}^n\}.$$

Algorithm 8 ($O_k^{s^1, \dots, s^t}$)

On the i 'th query $x^i \in \{0, 1\}^n$:

- 1 If x^ℓ with $x_{1, \dots, k-1}^\ell = x_{1, \dots, k-1}^i$ was previously asked, set $z = s_{x_k}^\ell$ (where ℓ is the minimal such index). Otherwise, set $z = s_{x_k}^i$.
- 2 Return $f_z(x_{k+1, \dots, n})$

$\mathcal{O}_k$ is *stateful*.

Actual proof

The family $\mathcal{O}_k$

$$\mathcal{O}_k := \{O_k^{s^1, \dots, s^t} : s^1, \dots, s^t \in \{0, 1\}^n \times \{0, 1\}^n\}.$$

Algorithm 8 ($O_k^{s^1, \dots, s^t}$)

On the i 'th query $x^i \in \{0, 1\}^n$:

- 1 If x^ℓ with $x_{1, \dots, k-1}^\ell = x_{1, \dots, k-1}^i$ was previously asked, set $z = s_{x_k}^\ell$ (where ℓ is the minimal such index). Otherwise, set $z = s_{x_k}^i$.
- 2 Return $f_z(x_{k+1, \dots, n})$

$\mathcal{O}_k$ is *stateful*.

We need to prove that $D_{O_k^{u^t}}(1^n) \equiv D^{H_k}(1^n)$ and

$$D_{O_k^{g(u_n)^t}}(1^n) \equiv D^{H_{k-1}}(1^n).$$

Actual proof

$$D_k^{O_k^{U_{2n}^t}}(1^n) \equiv D_k^{H_k}(1^n)$$

Proposition 9

For any $\ell, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and any algorithm A , it holds that $A^{\Pi_{\ell,m}} \equiv A^{B_{\ell,m}}$, where the stateful random algorithm $B_{\ell,m}$ answers identical queries with the same answer, and answers new queries with a random string of length m .

Actual proof

$$D_k^{O_k^{U_{2n}^t}}(1^n) \equiv D^{H_k}(1^n)$$

Proposition 9

For any $\ell, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and any algorithm A , it holds that $A^{\Pi_{\ell,m}} \equiv A^{B_{\ell,m}}$, where the stateful random algorithm $B_{\ell,m}$ answers identical queries with the same answer, and answers new queries with a random string of length m .

Proof?

Actual proof

$$D_k^{O_k^{U_{2n}^t}}(1^n) \equiv D^{H_k}(1^n)$$

Proposition 9

For any $\ell, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and any algorithm A , it holds that $A^{\Pi_{\ell,m}} \equiv A^{B_{\ell,m}}$, where the stateful random algorithm $B_{\ell,m}$ answers identical queries with the same answer, and answers new queries with a random string of length m .

Proof? Does the above trivialize the whole issue of PRF?

Actual proof

$$D_k^{O_k^{U_{2n}^t}}(1^n) \equiv D^{H_k}(1^n)$$

Proposition 9

For any $\ell, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and any algorithm A , it holds that $A^{\Pi_{\ell,m}} \equiv A^{B_{\ell,m}}$, where the stateful random algorithm $B_{\ell,m}$ answers identical queries with the same answer, and answers new queries with a random string of length m .

Proof? Does the above trivialize the whole issue of PRF?

Let $\tilde{O}_k$ be the variant that returns z (and not $f_{x_{k+1}, \dots, n}(z)$) and let $\tilde{D}_k$ be the algorithm that implements D using $\tilde{O}_k$ (by computing $f_{x_{k+1}, \dots, n}(z)$ by itself).

Actual proof

$$D^{O_k^{U_{2n}^t}}(1^n) \equiv D^{H_k}(1^n)$$

Proposition 9

For any $\ell, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and any algorithm A , it holds that $A^{\Pi_{\ell,m}} \equiv A^{B_{\ell,m}}$, where the stateful random algorithm $B_{\ell,m}$ answers identical queries with the same answer, and answers new queries with a random string of length m .

Proof? Does the above trivialize the whole issue of PRF?

Let $\tilde{O}_k$ be the variant that returns z (and not $f_{x_{k+1}, \dots, n}(z)$) and let $\tilde{D}_k$ be the algorithm that implements D using $\tilde{O}_k$ (by computing $f_{x_{k+1}, \dots, n}(z)$ by itself).

By Proposition 9

$$D^{O_k^{U_{2n}^t}}(1^n) \equiv \tilde{D}_k^{\tilde{O}_k^{U_{2n}^t}}(1^n) \equiv \tilde{D}_k^{\pi_{k,n}}(1^n) \equiv D^{H_k}(1^n) \quad (2)$$

Actual proof

$$D^{O_k^{g(U_n)^t}}(1^n) \equiv D^{H_{k-1}}(1^n)$$

It holds that

$$D^{O_k^{g(U_n)^t}}(1^n) \equiv D^{O_{k-1}^{U_{2n}^t}}(1^n) \quad (3)$$

Actual proof

$$D^{O_k^{g(U_n)^t}}(1^n) \equiv D^{H_{k-1}}(1^n)$$

It holds that

$$D^{O_k^{g(U_n)^t}}(1^n) \equiv D^{O_{k-1}^{U_{2n}^t}}(1^n) \quad (3)$$

Hence, by Equation (2)

$$D^{O_k^{g(U_n)^t}}(1^n) \equiv D^{H_{k-1}}(1^n)$$

Section 3

PRP from PRF

Pseudorandom permutations

Let $\tilde{\Pi}_n$ be the set of all permutations over $\{0, 1\}^n$.

Definition 10 (pseudorandom permutations)

A permutation ensemble $\mathbb{F} = \{\mathbb{F}_n : \{0, 1\}^n \mapsto \{0, 1\}^n\}$ is a pseudorandom permutation, if

$$\left| \Pr[D^{\mathbb{F}_n}(1^n) = 1] - \Pr[D^{\tilde{\Pi}_n}(1^n) = 1] \right| = \text{neg}(n), \quad (4)$$

for any oracle-aided PPT D

Pseudorandom permutations

Let $\tilde{\Pi}_n$ be the set of all permutations over $\{0, 1\}^n$.

Definition 10 (pseudorandom permutations)

A permutation ensemble $\mathbb{F} = \{\mathbb{F}_n : \{0, 1\}^n \mapsto \{0, 1\}^n\}$ is a pseudorandom permutation, if

$$\left| \Pr[D^{\mathbb{F}_n}(1^n) = 1] - \Pr[D^{\tilde{\Pi}_n}(1^n) = 1] \right| = \text{neg}(n), \quad (4)$$

for any oracle-aided PPT D

- Equation (4) holds for any PRF

Construction

Construction 11

Given a function family $\mathbb{F} = \{\mathbb{F}_n: \{0, 1\}^n \mapsto \{0, 1\}^n\}$, let $\text{LR}(\mathbb{F}) = \{\text{LR}(\mathbb{F}_n): \{0, 1\}^{2n} \mapsto \{0, 1\}^{2n}\}$, where $\text{LR}(\mathbb{F}_n) = \{\text{LR}(f): f \in \mathbb{F}_n\}$ and $\text{LR}(f)(\ell, r) = (r, f(r) \oplus \ell)$.

Construction

Construction 11

Given a function family $\mathbb{F} = \{\mathbb{F}_n: \{0, 1\}^n \mapsto \{0, 1\}^n\}$, let $\text{LR}(\mathbb{F}) = \{\text{LR}(\mathbb{F}_n): \{0, 1\}^{2n} \mapsto \{0, 1\}^{2n}\}$, where $\text{LR}(\mathbb{F}_n) = \{\text{LR}(f): f \in \mathbb{F}_n\}$ and $\text{LR}(f)(\ell, r) = (r, f(r) \oplus \ell)$. For $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\text{LR}^i(\mathbb{F})$ be the i 'th iteration of $\text{LR}(\mathbb{F})$.

Construction

Construction 11

Given a function family $\mathbb{F} = \{\mathbb{F}_n: \{0, 1\}^n \mapsto \{0, 1\}^n\}$, let $\text{LR}(\mathbb{F}) = \{\text{LR}(\mathbb{F}_n): \{0, 1\}^{2n} \mapsto \{0, 1\}^{2n}\}$, where $\text{LR}(\mathbb{F}_n) = \{\text{LR}(f): f \in \mathbb{F}_n\}$ and $\text{LR}(f)(\ell, r) = (r, f(r) \oplus \ell)$. For $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\text{LR}^i(\mathbb{F})$ be the i 'th iteration of $\text{LR}(\mathbb{F})$.

$\text{LR}(\mathbb{F})$ is always a permutation family, and is efficient if $\mathbb{F}$ is.

Construction

Construction 11

Given a function family $\mathbb{F} = \{\mathbb{F}_n: \{0, 1\}^n \mapsto \{0, 1\}^n\}$, let $\text{LR}(\mathbb{F}) = \{\text{LR}(\mathbb{F}_n): \{0, 1\}^{2n} \mapsto \{0, 1\}^{2n}\}$, where $\text{LR}(\mathbb{F}_n) = \{\text{LR}(f): f \in \mathbb{F}_n\}$ and $\text{LR}(f)(\ell, r) = (r, f(r) \oplus \ell)$. For $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\text{LR}^i(\mathbb{F})$ be the i 'th iteration of $\text{LR}(\mathbb{F})$.

$\text{LR}(\mathbb{F})$ is always a permutation family, and is efficient if $\mathbb{F}$ is.

Theorem 12 (Luby-Rackoff)

Assuming that $\mathbb{F}$ is a PRF, then $\text{LR}^3(\mathbb{F})$ is a PRP

Construction

Construction 11

Given a function family $\mathbb{F} = \{\mathbb{F}_n: \{0, 1\}^n \mapsto \{0, 1\}^n\}$, let $\text{LR}(\mathbb{F}) = \{\text{LR}(\mathbb{F}_n): \{0, 1\}^{2n} \mapsto \{0, 1\}^{2n}\}$, where $\text{LR}(\mathbb{F}_n) = \{\text{LR}(f): f \in \mathbb{F}_n\}$ and $\text{LR}(f)(\ell, r) = (r, f(r) \oplus \ell)$. For $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\text{LR}^i(\mathbb{F})$ be the i 'th iteration of $\text{LR}(\mathbb{F})$.

$\text{LR}(\mathbb{F})$ is always a permutation family, and is efficient if $\mathbb{F}$ is.

Theorem 12 (Luby-Rackoff)

Assuming that $\mathbb{F}$ is a PRF, then $\text{LR}^3(\mathbb{F})$ is a PRP

It suffices to prove the the following holds for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (why?)

Claim 13

$|\Pr[D^{\text{LR}^3(\Pi_n)}(1^n) = 1] - \Pr[D^{\tilde{\Pi}_{2n}}(1^n) = 1]| \leq \frac{4 \cdot q^2}{2^n},$
for any q -query algorithm D .

Section 4

Applications

general paradigm

Design a scheme assuming that you have random functions,
and the realize them using PRF.

Private-key Encryption

Construction 14 (PRF-based encryption)

Given an (efficient) PRF $\mathbb{F}$, define the encryption scheme (Gen, Enc, Dec) se:

Key generation Gen(1^n) returns $k \leftarrow \mathbb{F}_n$

Encryption Enc $_k(m)$ returns $U_n, k(U_n) \oplus m$

Decryption Dec $_k(c = (c_1, c_n))$ returns $k(c_1) \oplus c_2$

Private-key Encryption

Construction 14 (PRF-based encryption)

Given an (efficient) PRF $\mathbb{F}$, define the encryption scheme (Gen, Enc, Dec) se:

Key generation Gen(1^n) returns $k \leftarrow \mathbb{F}_n$

Encryption Enc $_k(m)$ returns $U_n, k(U_n) \oplus m$

Decryption Dec $_k(c = (c_1, c_n))$ returns $k(c_1) \oplus c_2$

- Advantages over the PRG based scheme?

Private-key Encryption

Construction 14 (PRF-based encryption)

Given an (efficient) PRF $\mathbb{F}$, define the encryption scheme (Gen, Enc, Dec) se:

Key generation Gen(1^n) returns $k \leftarrow \mathbb{F}_n$

Encryption Enc $_k(m)$ returns $U_n, k(U_n) \oplus m$

Decryption Dec $_k(c = (c_1, c_n))$ returns $k(c_1) \oplus c_2$

- Advantages over the PRG based scheme?
- Proof of security